

Elias, Abigail

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:19 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

There was no way they would have financing or hotel franchise in 3 weeks.

From: Teall, Margie
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:19 PM
To: Greden, Leigh
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

I'm not convinced. I think we/staff played hardball, and we kind of lost...

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:18 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

True. But I really believe it would've been over eventually. So we would've put more work in over the next 6 weeks, and it would have failed then.

From: Teall, Margie
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:16 PM
To: Greden, Leigh
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

True. I just can't believe that all that work.....is over, and wasted.

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:15 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

Well, I think that's reasonable. Otherwise they would have pattered around forever. That vote was closer than I thought it would be.

From: Teall, Margie
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:09 PM
To: Greden, Leigh
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

He said that he was told to have these deadlines or the project is dead.

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 11:07 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

But that's no excuse. They shouldn't have signed it. I can't believe he doesn't want deadlines!! They'd like us to sit on our hands, making interest payments, until they can finally make this project work. That's not a fair request of them. We're spending taxpayer dollars to pay that interest. They could've built this project ages ago, but the market failed. That's not our fault. It's also not theirs. But the taxpayers are paying for it.

From: Teall, Margie
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 10:57 PM
To: Greden, Leigh
Subject: RE: I'm irritated

I understand your frustration, but I also understand that they probably felt more than a bit coerced into signing the deadline agreements.

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 10:49 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: I'm irritated

By Mike J's comments. How can he say "we didn't want any deadlines"? That's absurd. It's totally appropriate for us to want deadlines. Regardless, he signed the agreement.

Elias, Abigail

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 7:31 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: RE: HHSAB

Good question. But for political reasons, I think we need something to guide us on those 100 units. The RAAH people and Avalon folks will push. John H wants the units outside downtown, scattered. If HHSAB comes up with a statement that the units don't need to be downtown, it frees up strings on the Y site. But not sure if that's the best policy.

From: Teall, Margie
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 7:29 PM
To: Greden, Leigh
Subject: RE: HHSAB

Are you suggesting that the HHSAB come up with the policy statement?? I'm wondering where the drive will come from, if the people who were in the Y have now been housed.

From: Greden, Leigh
Sent: Monday, November 05, 2007 7:27 PM
To: Teall, Margie
Subject: HHSAB

If we reject this thing tonight, HHSAB is gonna have to come up with a proposal for the 100 units. Maybe a general guiding policy statement?